



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/974,725                      | 10/09/2001  | Shiho Wang           | SITECH.004A         | 7828             |
| 20995                           | 7590        | 09/23/2004           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP |             |                      | METZMAIER, DANIEL S |                  |
| 2040 MAIN STREET                |             |                      | ART UNIT            |                  |
| FOURTEENTH FLOOR                |             |                      | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| IRVINE, CA 92614                |             |                      | 1712                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |                                 |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.<br>09/974,725   | Applicant(s)<br>WANG ET AL. <span style="float: right;">C</span> |  |
|   | Examiner<br>Daniel S. Metzmaier | Art Unit<br>1712   |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Bruce Ichkawitz.

**Date of Interview:** 20 September 2004

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none.*

Claims discussed:  
*none.*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** ~11:50 AM

  
**DANIEL S. METZMAIER**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
ART UNIT 1712

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquired regarding the status and latest filings. Applicants' representative indicated a response to the Office Action mailed March 16, 2004 was filed June 11, 2004 with an IDS. Examiner requested applicants resubmit said Response and IDS with a post card receipt to the cetral facsimile number..